

REMARKS

In response to the Examiner's Election of Species in the Office Action, Applicant hereby elects the invention of Species I, with traverse.

That is, Applicant submits that claims 4-7, which the Examiner alleges are drawn to species II and III, are not distinct from species I. That is, claims 4-7 recite features that are complementary to claim 1. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner to reconsider and withdraw the election of species requirement.

Applicant has amended claims 4 and 5 to depend from claim 1.

Applicants reserve the opportunity to file a Divisional Application for the non-elected inventions and/or species later.

Should the Examiner find the application to be other than in condition for allowance, Applicant requests the Examiner to contact the undersigned at the local telephone number listed below to discuss any other changes deemed necessary in a telephonic or personal interview.

Serial No. 10/528,101
Docket No. ARF-084US
(SHO.001)

9

The undersigned authorizes the Commissioner to charge any deficiency in fees or to credit any overpayment in fees to Attorney's Deposit Account No. 50-0481.

Respectfully Submitted,

Date: March 5, 2008



Scott M. Tulino, Esq.
Registration No. 48,317

Sean M. McGinn, Esq.
Registration No. 34,386

**MCGINN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY
LAW GROUP, PLLC**
8321 Old Courthouse Road, Suite 200
Vienna, VA 22182-3817
(703) 761-4100
Customer No. 21254